INDEX

Acknowledgements			
Acronyms			
Inde	ex	11	
Introduction			
	UNIT 1		
1.	Introduction: Key concepts in sociolinguistics	23	
2.	Sociolinguistics vs. sociology of language	24	
3. '	The origins of sociolinguistics	26	
4.	Variation	26	
5.	Some instances of variation	28	
6.	Diachronic variation	29	
	Speech community	32	
	Doing sociolinguistic research	35	
	Exercises	39	
10.	References	42	
11.	Resources on the web	43	
	Further readings and questions	43	
	12.1. Text 1	43	
	12.2. Text 2	45	
	Key words	47	

UNIT 2

	Some variables in sociolinguistics	51 51 53		
	1.3. Gender	56		
2.	Speech accommodation	60		
3.	Exercises	61		
	References	62		
	Resources on the web	63		
	Further readings and questions	63		
	6.1. Text 3	63		
	6.2. Text 4	64		
7.	Key words	65		
UNIT 3				
1	Pidginization and creolization	69		
	Some instances of pidgins	71		
	Some instances of creoles	72		
٥.	3.1. Hawaiian Creole English	73		
	3.2. Jamaican Patwa (or Patois)	75		
	3.3. Tok Pisin	77		
4	Decreolization	80		
	The use of pidgins and creoles in education	81		
	Exercises	83		
	References	85		
	Resources on the web	86		
	Further readings and questions	86		
٠.	9.1. Text 5	86		
	9.2. Text 6	87		
10	Key words	89		
10.	Ticy Words	0,		
UNIT 4				
	CINII 7			
2.	Bilingualism: Introduction Bilingualism: Definitions and dimensions Code Choice 3.1. Code-switching 3.2. Code-mixing	93 96 100 101 103		

INDEX 13

4.	Code-switching in bilingual children	104
5.	Diglossia	105
6.	Diglossia and bilingualism	107
7.	Multilingualism	109
8.	Language contact	110
9.	Exercises	112
10.	References	115
11.	Resources on the web	116
12.	Further readings and questions	116
	12.1. Text 7	116
	12.2. Text 8	117
13.	Key words	118
	UNIT 5	
1	Bilingual education	121
	Language policy	125
	Language planning	126
٠.	3.1. Some factors affecting language planning	128
	3.2. Actions in language planning	129
	3.3. Aims of language planning	130
	3.4. Individual language planning	134
4.	Minority languages	135
	Language shift in minority languages	136
	Some particular sociolinguistic situations	137
	6.1. India	137
	6.2. New Zealand	139
	6.3. The Canadian experience	140
7.	European Union language planning and policy	143
	The role of English	145
9.	The Universal Declaration of Linguistic Rights	146
10.	Exercises	148
11.	References	150
12.	Resources on the web	151
13.	Further readings and questions	151
	13.1. Text 9	151
	13.2. Text 10	153
14	Key words	155

UNIT 6

1.	Sociolinguistics and language teaching/learning	159	
2.	Communicative competence in language teaching/learning	161	
3.	The sociolinguistic behavior of English speakers: Rules of		
	speaking	162	
	3.1. Address behavior	162	
	3.2. Telephoning	164	
4.	Sociolinguistic perspectives on language use in immersion		
	classrooms	165	
5.	Analysis of the EFL classroom language	167	
	Implications for language teaching	170	
7.	Pragmatics in language teaching	171	
	Language in the law	172	
9.	Standard English and World Englishes	174	
	Exercises	178	
	References	181	
12.	Resources on the web	182	
	Further readings and questions	182	
	13.1. Text 11	182	
	13.2. Text 12	183	
14.	Key words	186	
Mo	del exam	187	
Ko	Key to the exercises		
170	y to the excleses	193	
Glo	Glossary		
_		217	
Co	Conceptual index		

1. INTRODUCTION: KEY CONCEPTS IN SOCIOLINGUISTICS

Language is commonly used to convey meaning but that is not all we use language for. Language is used for a number of things other than transmitting a verbal message, among them, to initiate, maintain and preserve social relationships with other members of the society. Therefore, language should be understood as a social phenomenon that relates the speakers to their societal environment and their kinship to other members of the speech community. As a result of the complexity of human relationships, we do not speak in the same way to a classmate as to a professor. Parents do not speak in the same way to their offspring as they do to their parents, or their boss. But, our way of transmitting messages depends, of course, not only on linguistic matters but also on non-linguistic ones such as body language, contextual and situational factors, among others.

Sociolinguistics can be defined as a field of research and study that deals with the relation between language and society. It copes with the links that can be found between one or more languages and their users who live within a specific speech community. Sociolinguistics examines the societal and linguistic patterns that govern our behavior as members of human society and how they affect interaction.

Sociolinguistics is a relatively new field. In the 50's and 60's, sociolinguists began to oppose Chomsky's abstraction of language. Chomsky aimed at finding basic grammatical structures that could account for the existence of structured patterns across languages relying on "ideal" native speaker's intuitions to describe and interpret language. Sociolinguists, however, tried to find the reasons for linguistic variations in social and environmental conditions. Chomsky was concerned with the ideal speaker/listener communication in a completely homogeneous speech community who knows the language as a native speaker (rather a fuzzy

concept, in fact), that is to say, perfectly. This monolithic view of the native speaker has nothing to do with the conception of the native speaker in sociolinguistics where social and non-linguistic factors are considered of key importance for communication. What is more, Chomsky's description of a native speaker in a homogeneous speech community is far from being considered commonplace or even real. Speech communities are not easy to delimit and geographical proximity is not always a valid criterion in order to find a reliable definition. Does an English speaker from Edinburgh speak the same way as someone from downtown London or Liverpool? Undoubtedly they speak the same language, English, but their use is quite different. And, do all three speakers belong to the same speech community? They have spoken English since childhood and they live in the same country with the same cultural background. As regards the second issue, the three aforementioned speakers can consider themselves native speakers of the same language, English, in spite of clear societal or dialectal variation.

From the very beginning a break could be perceived between the approaches and methods used by generativists and sociolinguists in their quest for language nature and development. Dell Hymes (1971) coined the term *communicative competence* as opposed to Chomsky's linguistic competence. Communicative competence refers not only to the human ability to use the language in different situations and under different circumstances but it also refers to other non-linguistic aspects which are also part of the communication process, such as: silence, turn-taking, volume, amount of talk, word choice, gestures, etc. All of these being part of the communication process and completing purely linguistic aspects such as phonology, morphology and syntax. Hymes' contribution to the field of sociolinguistics has been paramount and the concept of communicative competence is nowadays widespread in other disciplines and areas of research. In chapter 6 we will see the importance of communicative competence and later developments of the construct in second language teaching and learning.

2. SOCIOLINGUISTICS VS. SOCIOLOGY OF LANGUAGE

When in the late 60's sociolinguistics first developed as an academic field of study, two names were given to this still incipient discipline: sociolinguistics and sociology of language, and both terms were used interchangeably. The aim of sociolinguistics is to investigate and describe the relationship between language and society and the stress is placed on

UNIT 1 25

language and its role within communication. Sociology of language, however, centers on the study of society and how we can understand it through the study of language, that is, how we can understand sociolinguistic behavior by means of the study of linguistic features.

Depending on the scope of the analysis, sociolinguistics may try to analyze specific differences of a group of speakers in a speech community at a micro level. In this case the analysis would refer to speech differences in pronunciation, grammar, and vocabulary within a single speech community in order to determine some features such as educational background, economic status or social class. In India, for example, there are many castes (traditional social classes in the Hindu society) and there are distinct linguistic features that distinguish one from another.

Another possibility would comprise a much broader scope of analysis. Sociolinguistics can also refer to a macro level and in that case what interests the researchers is language variation as a human phenomenon that affects large parts of the population. That would be the case, for example, of language maintenance when large populations migrate to a different place and the language is preserved because of social factors. Keeping their language can be seen as a sign of identity that distinguishes them from outsiders or as a source of power as they can communicate without being understood and this can serve trade purposes, for instance. It can also happen that the language just disappears (language attrition) because it becomes a low-prestige language. Another possible scenario may be that the community wishes to blend into the dominant culture or that the amount of speakers decreases as they grow old and die. All in all, macro-sociolinguistics applies to wide-ranging human phenomena and is often referred to, as stated before, as sociology of language.

Some authors prefer to talk about micro-sociolinguistics and macro-sociolinguistics and make a distinction between these two parts of sociolinguistics. Sometimes the first is associated with discrete point cases and studies (micro-sociolinguistics) whereas the second is connected with wide ranging situations. Both tendencies, however, are concerned about the same phenomenon —language and society— although at a different scale. Micro-sociolinguistics involves the use of a language as a whole together with another cultural phenomenon that determines the use of language, whereas macro-sociolinguistics deals with language planning, language policy, etc. In Hudson's (1980: 4-5) words sociolinguistics is "the study of language in relation to society, and the sociology of language is the study of society in relation to language".

3. THE ORIGINS OF SOCIOLINGUISTICS

Sociolinguistics has spread in the last thirty years together with other branches of linguistics such as psycholinguistics, pragmatics and applied linguistics which, far from having a descriptive or historical approach to language such as pure or traditional linguistics (syntax, phonetics, etc.) maintain an interest in the interdisciplinarity of the field and the contribution of other branches of the humanities to linguistics, such as: psychology, pragmatics, history, gender studies, etc. This new branch of linguistics emerged together with other developments of applied linguistics and was often considered a "step child", until it finally became a consolidated fully acknowledged field of research. It comprises various areas of study and research like historical and comparative linguistics, dialectology, and anthropology.

In Europe, sociolinguistics started with the study of historical linguistics and linguistic geography, a sound theoretical background with three main fields of interest: dialectology, regional languages and the linguistic situation of colonized countries (Calvet, 2003). In the USA, however, the study of sociolinguistics emerges from the contact of linguistics with other disciplines such as anthropology and sociology. The ethnographic approach of anthropologists, methodology used in social sciences and the analysis of linguistic realization.

Nowadays, sociolinguistics is not only a truly consolidated discipline but it can also be divided into subfields, such as pragmatics, language gender studies, pidgin and creole studies, language planning and policy studies, and education of linguistic minority studies, etc. (Bratt Paulson & Tucker, 2003). According to Shuy (2003: 15), the more recent developments of discourse analysis and pragmatics are considered as part of sociolinguistics by some scholars whereas others consider them areas of study in themselves. In the same way there is no full agreement on whether language change is part of sociolinguistics or the other way round. This situation accounts for the variety of approaches and perspectives towards a discipline that becomes more and more important these days and which now goes from the theoretical perspective to the applied trend in the form of applied sociolinguistics.

4. VARIATION

Sociolinguistics is all about variation. From a sociolinguistic point of view the most important source of information is the way social and

UNIT 1 27

situational factors affect language and make it vary. For example, when two people meet and start talking about, let's say, the weather, they start getting information about their interlocutor as they sort out the information contained in their speech. One of the first features that can sometimes be identified is the origin, i.e., where does that person come from (geographic variation). If by any chance we happen to distinguish clear features of his/her speech, we will be able to determine his/her place of origin very precisely, if that is not the case, we may just ascertain some characteristics and that will give us a rough idea. The same can happen when specific differences are associated, within a specific speech community, with social, economical, political, religious, cultural or any other situational background. Obviously, linguistic variation does not only affect people from different speech communities but also affects the way people speak or react towards someone else's speech, for example, in terms of gender. In most societies we can identify clear differences in the way males and females speak although in western societies these differences are not so evident. In terms of power relationships the way people use the language is affected by the social connection between them, for example between a teacher and a student, and between a boss and an employee, etc.

(Please, go to the exercises section and do exercise 1.)

Another aspect of variation is that it has certain bounds. A speaker can vary his/her speech in some degree, especially to adhere to certain social, economic, religious, etc. class, but s/he cannot vary it beyond certain limits otherwise s/he would be ungrammatical and/or incomprehensible. Speakers have knowledge of these limits, often unconsciously, although some other problems would be to determine how this knowledge is attained and how it can be described. It is much more subtle than other social norms such as those of turn-taking in conversation or social behavior. At this point, it would be necessary to point out that linguistic norms are quite often more understated than other social conventions, such as table manners and, therefore, harder to describe, or even perceive. It goes without saying that they are also harder to learn and/or acquire in the case of a Second Language as the learner does not only need to learn the code, i.e., the language but also how to use it properly in diverse situations. Social conventions are usually learned or acquired during childhood and adolescence but these rules can vary from culture to culture and as languages often reflect the way their users understand and perceive their lives, it is often the case that Second Language learners, in their tedious task of learning a non-native language, also need to learn social and linguistic conventions. At times, and depending on the affinity between the languages in contact, it can be easy to infer linguistic forms and uses from the first language, but it is often not so obvious. As a simple example English speakers understand verbal politeness differently than Spanish speakers, and in terms of frequency British English speakers tend to thank more frequently, in everyday situations, than Peninsular Spanish speakers.

(Please, go to the exercises section and do exercise 2.)

All in all, the aim of sociolinguists is to describe the variations within a language and match these variations with the different groups of people that use them, as well as the corresponding situations. So, sociolinguistics deals especially with variation, among groups, among situations and among places, and the task of the sociolinguist is to find regular patterns of variation in use.

5. SOME INSTANCES OF VARIATION

Labov (2003) states that style shifting is usually correlated to the amount of attention that the speaker pays to his speech. In American English, for instance, the spelling <th-> in words like *thing* and *that* can be pronounced as smooth fricative [θ] or [δ], as a lightly or strongly articulated alveolar plosive [t], as a blend of these two variants, or not pronounced at all in utterances such as *Gimme 'at book* (*Give me that book*). These forms are used at different levels for different social groups and different regions.

In Black English Vernacular, for instance, we can see some markers which are characteristic of this ethnic linguistic variety like the "double negative" in English often used by nonstandard speakers to express negatives emphatically in sentences such as: *Nobody don't know about that (Nobody knows anything about that)*. Other peculiarities of Black English Vernacular in the United States is the absence of final third person singular <—s> (e.g. *She want, he walk*) and the dropping of the verb *to be* in present tense when used as a copula, (e.g. *They real fine*).

Word choice also determines style shifting as the linguistic 'domain' (home, neighborhood, job, church, store, school, etc.) settles the degree of formality in the words used as well as the amount of colloquialisms in a speaker's speech.

(Please go to the exercises sections and do exercises 3 and 4.)